c.f. 1001- SINGLE BENCH (1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 3239 /2006

PETITIONER : Smt. Annapurna Sahu, Aged about 39 yrs, W/o. Tulsiram Sahu, R/o. Post Tumgaon, Tahsil and Vikaskhand Mahasamund, District Mahasamund (CG)
(Sarpanch- Gram Panchayat, Tumgaon)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1] State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: Collector, Mahasamund,
District Mahasamund (CG)

P.R. No. 3293/06
Presented by Shri V.K. Goyal adv.
dated 31/7/06

2] S.D.O. (Revenue)
A Prescribed Authority,
Mahasamund, District--
Mahasamund (CG)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLE
BILASPUR
31/7/06

3] Deputy Director,
Panchayat and Social Welfare,
Mahasamund, District
Mahasamund (CG)

4] Chief Executive Officer,
Janpad Panchayat, Mahasamund,
District Mahasamund (CG)

COPY Receipt by
Y.C. Sharma Adv
Counsel for caveator
Dt. 03/7/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1] **PARTICULARS OF THE PE**

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 3239/06 **सन् 200.**

52

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	5.7.2006 Shri Manoj Paranjpe, Advocate with Shri V.A. Goverdhan, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for respondent No.1 to 3. At the outset, Mr. Bajaj submits that as per Rule 3 of C.G. Panchayats (Appeal & Revision) Rules, 1995 the order impugned passed by the Prescribed Authority is appealable before the Collector. Since the petitioner has equally efficacious alternative remedy available, this petition is not maintainable and therefore, same is dismissed. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2487/06 & I.A. No.6377/06 also stand dismissed. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	